

APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM, UEF POSTDOCTORAL RESEARCHERS 2020-2022

Name of applicant:

Title of proposed project:

Name of UEF global challenge:

Name of reviewer:

Please write comments and numeric ratings to each of the following sub-items.

The numerical evaluation is made with ratings ranging from 1 (weak) to 6 (outstanding). 1 = weak, 2 = unsatisfactory, 3 = good, 4 = very good, 5 = excellent, 6 = outstanding

1 RELEVANCE TO UNIVERSITY STRATEGY

RATING (1-6):

1.1 Relevance of the proposed research plan to four specific global challenges in University strategy

Does the research plan support and advance the research in UEF strategic areas?

2 RESEARCH PLAN

RATING (1-6):

2.1 Scientific quality and innovativeness of research plan

Is the project scientifically significant and innovative? Is the project ambitious and does it have potential for breakthroughs? Does it have potential for exceptionally significant outcomes? If the project is multi/inter/transdisciplinary, what is the added value of this?

2.2 Feasibility of research plan

Are the objectives and hypotheses appropriately presented and is the research plan realistic? Are the research methods and materials appropriate? Does the applicant acknowledge potential scientific or methodological problem areas and how are alternative approaches being considered? Does the research environment of the UEF support this project, including appropriate research infrastructures?

2.3 Ethical issues

Are there any ethical issues involved and, if so, how are they taken into account?

3 COMPETENCE OF APPLICANT

RATING (1-6):

3.1 Competence and expertise of applicant

What are the personal merits and scientific expertise of the applicant? Are they appropriate and sufficient for the proposed project? Does the research plan advance the applicant's professional competence and independence? Does the candidate have experience on student supervision? Does the candidate have experience on international collaboration?

3.2 Research collaborations and mobility

Is the project involved in national and/or international research collaborations that can significantly contribute to the success of the project? How does the mobility plan support the research plan?

4 OVERALL ASSESSMENT

FINAL RATING (1-6):

4.1 Main strengths and weaknesses of project, additional comments and suggestions

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Comments:

(Please note that the final rating should not be a mathematical average of the sub-ratings)